

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/677,395	LETANT ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert T. Crow	1634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Affirmed in part

(1) Robert T. Crow. (3) _____.

(2) Dominic Kotab. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Robert T. Crow/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative to get authorization to cancel claims 7-9 and 16-18, the rejections of which were affirmed by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on 25 March 2010. The examiner stated claims 1-6 and 12-12 are in condition for allowance. The examiner stated that if no authorization was provided by close of business on 2 July 2010, this action would be mailed. Applicant's representative did not reply to the inquiry.